1CdSe 3.09-01-02910-JLS	PageID.407	Filed 11/18/24	Document 118		1SE 3:U9-C1-U2910-JLS	ase $3:09$ -cr- $02916$ -JLS Document $1$
-------------------------	------------	----------------	--------------	--	-----------------------	---

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

EFREN OCHOA-GEMBE,

Defendant.

Case No.: 09CR2916-JLS

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S
MOTIONS:
1) FOR FSA AND TWO POINT
REDUCTIONS; and
2) TO CLARIFY SENTENCE AND
COMPUTATION UNDER TREATY ART.
VI

[ECF Nos. 113 and 114]

Pending before the Court are two motions filed by Defendant Ochoa-Gembe, a prisoner in federal custody. The first motion (ECF No. 113) seeks a two-point sentence reduction pursuant to United States Sentencing Guideline ("USSG") Amendment 821. The Court has previously denied a request by Defendant for a sentence reduction under USSG Amendment 821 because Defendant does not qualify for such relief due to his aggravated role in the offense of conviction. ECF No. 110. Defendant presents no basis for reconsideration of this order, thus Defendant's Motion for FSA and Two Point Reductions is **Denied**.

Defendant's second motion (ECF No. 114) requests that the Court "Clarify Sentence and Computation data under Treaty Art. VI." Defendant contends that this Court

"still has full jurisdiction on [his] criminal case sentence." Defendant is incorrect, Defendant's sentence is final, and the Court lacks the authority to modify it. *See e.g., Dillon v. United States*, 560 U.S. 817, 819 (2010) (recognizing that federal court generally "may not modify a term of imprisonment once it has been imposed" (quoting 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)). Furthermore, this Court lacks the authority to compute Defendant's sentencing credits, that authority rests with the Bureau of Prisons ("BOP"). *See e.g., United States v. Wilson*, 503 U.S. 329, 335 ("After a district court sentences a federal offender, the Attorney General, through the BOP, has the responsibility for administering the sentence."). Accordingly, Defendant's Motion to Clarify Sentence and Computation Under Treaty Art. VI is **Denied**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 18, 2024

Hon. Janis L. Sammartino United States District Judge